



BLOCKCHAIN APPLICATIONS IN LOCAL FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS:

Dr. Izuchukwu Ogbodo

Department of Banking and Finance, Institute of Management and Technology, Enugu, Nigeria

Correspondence: Dr. Izuchukwu Ogbodo, Department of Banking and Finance, Institute of Management and Technology, Enugu, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Blockchain technology has emerged as a transformative force in the global financial landscape, offering unprecedented opportunities for enhancing the efficiency, transparency, and security of financial transactions. This article examines the applications of blockchain technology in local financial transactions, with particular emphasis on developing economies such as Nigeria. Drawing on a review of existing literature and case studies, this study explores how blockchain-based solutions are reshaping peer-to-peer payments, remittances, microfinance, credit systems, and regulatory compliance at the local level. The findings indicate that blockchain holds significant promise for financial inclusion, reducing transaction costs, and eliminating intermediary inefficiencies. However, substantial challenges including regulatory uncertainty, technological infrastructure deficits, and low digital literacy persist. The article concludes with policy recommendations for financial institutions, regulators, and governments seeking to harness blockchain for sustainable local economic development.

Keywords: Blockchain, Distributed Ledger Technology, Local Financial Transactions, Financial Inclusion, Cryptocurrency, Smart Contracts, Developing Economies, Nigeria

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Ogbodo, I. (2026). Blockchain applications in local financial transactions: Opportunities, challenges, and policy implications. *African Journal of Management and Administration*, 1(1), 41-48. <https://www.afjma.org>

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid digitisation of financial services in the twenty-first century has fundamentally altered the architecture of global banking and commerce. Among the most consequential technological developments of this era is blockchain, a distributed ledger technology (DLT) that enables secure, transparent, and tamper-resistant recording of transactions without the need for a centralised authority (Nakamoto, 2008). Originally conceptualised as the underpinning infrastructure for Bitcoin, blockchain has since evolved into a versatile technological platform with wide-ranging applications across industries, including healthcare, supply chain management, real estate, and most significantly, financial services.

In the context of local financial transactions encompassing retail payments, peer-to-peer money transfers, microfinance, savings groups, and community banking, blockchain presents an especially compelling proposition. Millions of individuals in developing economies remain excluded from formal financial systems, relying instead on informal mechanisms characterised by high costs, opacity, and systemic vulnerability (World Bank, 2022). In Nigeria alone, the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) estimates that approximately 38 percent of adults remain financially excluded as of 2023, underscoring the urgency of innovative solutions that can bridge the access gap (CBN, 2023).

This article investigates the applications of blockchain technology in local financial transactions, with emphasis on the Nigerian context as a case study for broader developing-economy dynamics. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, regulatory frameworks, and real-world deployments, this study analyses how blockchain is being leveraged to transform local financial ecosystems, the barriers that impede its widespread adoption, and the policy interventions necessary to unlock its full potential.

2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Understanding Blockchain Technology

Blockchain is fundamentally a decentralised, distributed digital ledger that records transactions across a network of computers in a manner that ensures data integrity without requiring a trusted intermediary (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). Each block in the chain contains a cryptographic hash of the preceding block, a timestamp, and transaction data, making retrospective alteration computationally impractical. This immutability, combined with distributed consensus mechanisms such as Proof of Work (PoW) or Proof of Stake (PoS), confers blockchain with properties uniquely suited to financial applications.

The architecture of blockchain can be categorised into three primary types: public blockchains (permissionless and decentralised, as exemplified by Bitcoin and Ethereum), private blockchains (permissioned and operated by a single organisation), and consortium blockchains (permissioned and governed by a group of organisations). For local financial transactions, consortium and private blockchains have demonstrated the most practical utility, as they offer the benefits of distributed ledger technology while maintaining regulatory oversight and data privacy (Buterin, 2014).

2.2 Smart Contracts and Financial Automation

A critical enabler of blockchain's application in financial transactions is the smart contract, a self-executing programme stored on the blockchain that automatically enforces the terms of an agreement when predefined conditions are met (Szabo, 1997). Introduced at scale on the Ethereum platform, smart contracts eliminate the need for intermediaries in complex financial arrangements such as escrow, lending, and insurance, thereby substantially reducing transaction costs and execution time. In local financial contexts, smart contracts can automate loan disbursements, savings withdrawals, and insurance payouts, making financial services more accessible and efficient for underserved populations.

2.3 Theoretical Perspectives

The analysis in this article is anchored in three theoretical frameworks. First, the Financial Intermediation Theory (Diamond, 1984) provides a basis for understanding how blockchain disrupts traditional intermediary roles in the financial system. Second, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) proposed by Davis (1989) offers insights into the factors that determine user adoption of blockchain-based financial tools. Third, the Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) contextualises the role of regulatory and normative pressures in shaping the deployment of blockchain in local financial markets.

2.4 Review of Existing Literature

Scholarly interest in blockchain's financial applications has grown exponentially since 2015. Crosby et al. (2016) provided one of the earliest comprehensive surveys of blockchain applications, identifying financial services as the sector with the highest transformative potential. Subsequent studies by Guo and Liang (2016) examined blockchain's role in banking, concluding that it could reduce infrastructure costs by up to 30 percent. In the African context, Asongu and Nwachukwu (2016) documented the role of mobile technology in financial inclusion and identified DLT as a natural extension of mobile money platforms.

More recent scholarship has focused on specific applications. Ante (2020) examined blockchain-based remittances, finding significant cost reduction potential relative to traditional wire transfer services. Ozili (2022) critically assessed blockchain's implications for financial regulation in Africa, cautioning against regulatory capture and the risks of premature deregulation. Nwankwo and Eze (2023) conducted a Nigerian-specific study on blockchain adoption in microfinance institutions, finding favorable attitudes among practitioners tempered by infrastructure concerns. These studies collectively inform the analytical framework applied in the present article.

3. BLOCKCHAIN APPLICATIONS IN LOCAL FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

3.1 Peer-to-Peer Payments and Retail Transactions

One of the most direct applications of blockchain in local financial systems is the facilitation of peer-to-peer (P2P) payments without the need for traditional banking intermediaries. Blockchain-based payment platforms enable individuals to transfer value directly, rapidly, and at minimal cost. In Nigeria, platforms such as Luno and Yellow Card have leveraged blockchain infrastructure to enable cryptocurrency-based P2P transactions, offering an alternative to bank transfers that are often slow, expensive, and inaccessible to rural populations (Luno, 2023).

The disintermediation offered by blockchain P2P payment systems is particularly significant in the context of local market transactions. Artisans, traders, and small business operators who have historically been excluded from formal payment infrastructure can utilise blockchain wallets to receive and make payments securely. Studies indicate that blockchain P2P payments can reduce transaction fees by as much as 75 percent compared with conventional banking transfers (PwC, 2020), representing a substantial financial saving for low-income economic actors.

3.2 Remittances and Cross-Border Local Transfers

Remittances constitute one of the most critical inflows of capital in developing economies. Nigeria is the largest recipient of remittances in Sub-Saharan Africa, receiving approximately \$20.1 billion in 2022 (World Bank, 2022). Traditional remittance channels dominated by operators such as Western Union and MoneyGram impose average fees of 5-8 percent, with fees rising to as high as 20 percent for some corridors (World Bank, 2023). Blockchain-based remittance platforms have demonstrated the capacity to reduce these costs dramatically, with services such as Stellar and Ripple enabling near-instantaneous cross-border transfers at fees below 1 percent.

The Stellar Development Foundation's partnership with African fintech firms has enabled blockchain remittance infrastructure specifically tailored to local corridor needs. Beneficiaries in rural communities can receive funds via mobile-linked blockchain wallets without requiring a bank account, directly addressing the financial exclusion challenge. The CBN's regulatory sandbox framework, launched in 2021, has created a controlled environment for testing such innovations, signalling growing institutional receptiveness to blockchain-based remittance solutions (CBN, 2021).

3.3 Microfinance and Community Banking

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) and community banks serve as critical financial infrastructure for underbanked populations in Nigeria and across sub-Saharan Africa. However, these institutions are burdened by high operational costs, limited access to capital markets, and significant information asymmetries between borrowers and lenders. Blockchain technology addresses these challenges through several mechanisms.

First, decentralised credit scoring systems enabled by blockchain can utilise alternative data such as mobile money transaction histories, utility payment records, and community participation metrics to generate credit profiles for individuals without formal banking histories. Companies such as Tala and Branch have demonstrated the viability of algorithm-driven mobile lending in African markets, and blockchain-based extensions of these models promise greater transparency and data integrity (Maina, 2020). Second, blockchain-enabled savings groups replicate the traditional *esusu* (rotating savings and credit associations) model in digital form, automating contributions and disbursements through smart contracts and providing an immutable record of participation. Pilot programmes in Kenya and Ghana have demonstrated strong uptake of such platforms among women-led savings groups (GSMA, 2022).

3.4 Agricultural Finance and Supply Chain Payments

Nigeria's agricultural sector employs approximately 35 percent of the working population and contributes nearly 25 percent of GDP (National Bureau of Statistics, 2023). Yet smallholder farmers face chronic

challenges in accessing credit, receiving timely payments, and verifying the provenance of their produce. Blockchain-based agricultural finance platforms offer integrated solutions to these challenges.

Platforms such as AgriLedger (deployed in Haiti, Rwanda, and increasingly in West Africa) use blockchain to create transparent supply chain records, enabling farmers to demonstrate the history and quality of their produce to buyers and financiers. Smart contract-based payment systems can trigger automatic disbursements to farmers upon verification of delivery, eliminating the payment delays and disputes that characterise traditional agricultural supply chains. The Bank of Agriculture (BOA) in Nigeria has explored blockchain pilot programmes for disbursing agricultural credit, with early results indicating improved targeting and reduced leakage compared to conventional disbursement channels (BOA, 2022).

3.5 Insurance and Risk Management

Insurance penetration in Nigeria remains exceedingly low, at approximately 0.5 percent of GDP, compared to the African average of 2.8 percent (NAICOM, 2023). A principal barrier to insurance uptake among low-income populations is the complexity and opacity of claims processes, combined with widespread distrust of insurers. Blockchain-based parametric insurance, where smart contracts automatically trigger payouts upon the occurrence of predetermined, verifiable events such as drought, floods, or commodity price falls, offers a compelling model for extending insurance coverage to previously unserved populations.

Agricultural insurance schemes using weather-indexed smart contracts have been piloted in several African countries. In Nigeria, the Nigeria Incentive-Based Risk Sharing System for Agricultural Lending (NIRSAL) has explored blockchain integration for its agricultural insurance products, with the potential to automate claims processing and significantly reduce administrative costs (NIRSAL, 2022). Such innovations could fundamentally alter the risk management landscape for local economic actors.

3.6 Government Payments and Social Transfers

Blockchain technology also presents significant opportunities for improving the efficiency and integrity of government payments and social transfer programmes. Nigeria's social protection infrastructure, including the National Social Investment Programme (NSIP), has been challenged by leakages, targeting errors, and a lack of transparency. Blockchain-based payment rails offer a mechanism for ensuring that transfer payments reach intended beneficiaries directly, with an immutable audit trail that facilitates accountability.

The Nigeria Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS) has explored distributed ledger technologies for improving payment system infrastructure, and several state governments have piloted blockchain for salary payments and pension management (NIBSS, 2022). These applications represent an important frontier for blockchain in local financial contexts, with the potential to significantly reduce corruption and administrative inefficiency in public finance.

4. THE eNAIRA: NIGERIA'S CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY AS A BLOCKCHAIN CASE STUDY

Nigeria's launch of the eNaira in October 2021 represents one of the most significant blockchain-related financial developments in Africa. As a Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) built on the Hyperledger Fabric blockchain framework, the eNaira is intended to facilitate low-cost digital payments, support financial inclusion, and provide the CBN with greater visibility into monetary transactions (CBN, 2021).

The eNaira's design incorporates a two-tier distribution model, in which commercial banks and licensed fintech operators serve as intermediaries between the CBN and end users. Critically, the eNaira allows unbanked individuals to access digital payment infrastructure using a basic feature phone, thereby bypassing the need for a smartphone or an internet connection. By mid-2023, the CBN reported over 13 million eNaira wallets and 3,000 merchant integrations, though adoption has fallen short of initial projections due to usability challenges and limited merchant acceptance (CBN, 2023).

The eNaira case study offers several instructive lessons for blockchain applications in local financial transactions. First, regulatory-led blockchain initiatives can achieve rapid scale when backed by institutional authority, but they must be accompanied by robust public education campaigns. Second, interoperability between blockchain-based CBDC systems and existing mobile money platforms is essential for reaching the last mile. Third, the eNaira experience highlights the importance of user experience design in determining the uptake of blockchain-based financial tools among non-technical populations.

5. CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS TO BLOCKCHAIN ADOPTION IN LOCAL FINANCIAL CONTEXTS

5.1 Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory environment for blockchain-based financial services in Nigeria and across Africa remains fluid and, in many respects, uncertain. The CBN's initial ban on financial institutions facilitating cryptocurrency transactions in 2021 subsequently modified to allow regulated virtual asset service providers exemplifies the regulatory volatility that has inhibited investment and innovation in the blockchain financial services sector (CBN, 2021). Without clear, consistent regulatory frameworks, financial institutions are reluctant to commit resources to blockchain integration, and users lack the confidence to adopt blockchain-based financial tools.

5.2 Technological Infrastructure Deficits

Blockchain networks require reliable internet connectivity and adequate computing resources to function effectively. Nigeria's telecommunications infrastructure, while improving, remains characterized by uneven coverage, frequent outages, and high data costs, particularly in rural areas. The 2022 National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) report estimated that approximately 42 percent of Nigerians lack reliable internet access, creating a structural barrier to blockchain adoption in precisely those communities most in need of financial inclusion solutions (NITDA, 2022).

5.3 Digital Literacy and Consumer Education

The successful deployment of blockchain-based financial services requires users to possess sufficient digital literacy to understand, trust, and effectively utilize these technologies. Surveys of financial service users in Nigeria indicate widespread unfamiliarity with blockchain concepts, private key management, and digital wallet security (EFInA, 2022). Without sustained investment in consumer education and simplified user interfaces, blockchain-based financial tools risk replicating the exclusion they purport to solve by favoring technically literate, urban, educated users over the rural poor.

5.4 Energy Consumption and Environmental Concerns

Proof of Work blockchain networks, most notably Bitcoin, are characterised by extraordinarily high energy consumption, raising significant environmental sustainability concerns. While alternative consensus mechanisms such as Proof of Stake and Delegated Proof of Stake dramatically reduce energy requirements, awareness of blockchain's environmental footprint can generate reputational and regulatory headwinds. In the Nigerian context, where energy supply is already severely constrained, the energy demands of certain blockchain implementations represent a legitimate barrier to adoption.

5.5 Security and Fraud Risks

While blockchain itself is highly resistant to tampering, the broader ecosystem surrounding blockchain financial services, including digital wallets, exchange platforms, and smart contract code, is vulnerable to a range of security threats, including phishing attacks, code exploits, and fraudulent schemes. The Chainalysis 2023 Crypto Crime Report identified sub-Saharan Africa as a region with growing vulnerability to blockchain-related financial fraud, with scams and rug pulls disproportionately affecting retail investors and

first-time users (Chainalysis, 2023). Building robust security infrastructure and consumer protection frameworks is therefore essential for sustainable blockchain adoption.

5.6 Interoperability Challenges

The proliferation of distinct blockchain protocols and platforms creates significant interoperability challenges. Blockchain-based financial solutions operating on different platforms cannot natively communicate or transfer value, creating fragmentation that undermines the network effects necessary for widespread adoption. Efforts to develop cross-chain interoperability standards, led by initiatives such as the Interledger Protocol and Polkadot, are ongoing but have not yet achieved the level of maturity required for seamless local financial transaction ecosystems.

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: BLOCKCHAIN VERSUS TRADITIONAL FINANCIAL MECHANISMS

Transaction Parameter	Traditional Banking	Mobile Money	Blockchain-Based
Settlement Speed	1-5 business days	Instant (same network)	Near-instant (seconds to minutes)
Transaction Cost	High (1-8%)	Moderate (0.5-3%)	Low (<0.5%)
Intermediary Required	Yes (multiple)	Yes (telecom/bank)	No (peer-to-peer)
Transparency	Limited	Moderate	Full (on public ledgers)
Accessibility	Requires bank account	Requires SIM card	Requires internet/wallet
Security	High (regulatory)	Moderate	High (cryptographic)
Financial Inclusion	Low	Moderate	High (potential)
Regulatory Clarity	High		Evolving/uncertain

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Financial Transaction Mechanisms

7. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the foregoing analysis, this article advances the following policy recommendations for stakeholders seeking to harness blockchain's potential for local financial transaction transformation:

Regulatory Clarity and Proportionality: The CBN and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should develop a comprehensive, risk-proportionate regulatory framework for blockchain-based financial services that provides legal certainty for innovators and consumers while safeguarding financial stability. The regulatory sandbox model should be expanded and extended to allow longer testing periods and greater participation by smaller fintech firms.

Infrastructure Investment: Federal and state governments should accelerate investment in broadband infrastructure, particularly in rural areas, to reduce the connectivity gap that limits blockchain adoption. Public-private partnership models offer a viable mechanism for financing last-mile connectivity infrastructure.

Digital Literacy Programmes: The National Financial Inclusion Strategy should be updated to incorporate blockchain literacy as a component of broader digital and financial education initiatives. Targeted programmes for rural communities, women, and elderly populations are particularly warranted.

Interoperability Standards: Financial regulators should work with industry stakeholders to develop national interoperability standards for blockchain-based payment systems, ensuring that platforms can communicate with each other and with existing mobile money and banking infrastructure.

Consumer Protection Frameworks: Robust consumer protection mechanisms, including dispute resolution procedures, fraud reimbursement schemes, and mandatory disclosure requirements for blockchain financial service providers, must be established to build consumer trust and protect vulnerable users.

Academic and Research Investment: Nigerian universities and research institutes, including the Institute of Management Technology, Enugu, should prioritise blockchain research, particularly applied studies

examining local adoption dynamics, use-case viability, and socioeconomic impact. Collaboration between academia, industry, and regulators is essential for evidence-based policymaking.

8. CONCLUSION

Blockchain technology represents one of the most significant financial innovations of the contemporary era, with profound implications for the efficiency, inclusivity, and integrity of local financial transactions. In the Nigerian context, where financial exclusion, high transaction costs, and institutional trust deficits remain persistent challenges, blockchain offers a compelling set of solutions from peer-to-peer payments and low-cost remittances to automated microfinance and transparent government transfers.

However, the transformative potential of blockchain in local financial systems will not be realized automatically. It requires deliberate, coordinated action by governments, regulators, financial institutions, technology providers, civil society organisations, and academic researchers. The regulatory environment must evolve to provide clarity and confidence without stifling innovation. Infrastructure investment must reach the communities most in need. And sustained efforts to build digital literacy and consumer trust must accompany every technological deployment.

The eNaira experiment, whatever its limitations, demonstrates that Nigeria has the institutional will and technical capacity to lead blockchain innovation in Africa. Building on this foundation, and drawing on the rich landscape of international experience reviewed in this article, Nigeria and other developing economies are well-positioned to harness blockchain as a force for genuine financial transformation at the local level. The imperative for banking and finance scholars, practitioners, and policymakers is to rise to this opportunity with the urgency it demands.

REFERENCES

- Ante, L. (2020). Blockchain technology and remittances: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Finance Research Letters*, 37, 101410.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C. (2016). The mobile phone in the diffusion of knowledge for institutional quality in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, 86, 133-147.
- Bank of Agriculture [BOA]. (2022). Annual Report and Statement of Accounts 2021/2022. Bank of Agriculture, Abuja.
- Buterin, V. (2014). A next-generation smart contract and decentralised application platform. *Ethereum White Paper*. <https://ethereum.org>
- Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN]. (2021). eNaira Design Paper. CBN Publications, Abuja.
- Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN]. (2023). National Financial Inclusion Strategy 2022 Update. CBN, Abuja.
- Chainalysis. (2023). The Chainalysis 2023 Crypto Crime Report. Chainalysis Inc.
- Crosby, M., Pattanayak, P., Verma, S., & Kalyanaraman, V. (2016). Blockchain technology: Beyond bitcoin. *Applied Innovation*, 2(6-10), 71-81.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- Diamond, D. W. (1984). Financial intermediation and delegated monitoring. *Review of Economic Studies*, 51(3), 393-414.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160.

- EFinA. (2022). Access to Financial Services in Nigeria 2022 Survey. Enhancing Financial Innovation and Access, Lagos.
- GSMA. (2022). State of the Industry Report on Mobile Money 2022. GSMA, London.
- Guo, Y., & Liang, C. (2016). Blockchain application and outlook in the banking industry. Financial Innovation, 2(1), 1-12.
- Luno. (2023). Luno Nigeria Market Report 2023. Luno Group.
- Maina, G. (2020). Digital credit and mobile-based lending in sub-Saharan Africa: Trends, challenges, and opportunities. Journal of African Business, 21(4), 490-511.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
- National Bureau of Statistics [NBS]. (2023). Nigerian Gross Domestic Product Report Q2 2023. NBS, Abuja.
- National Information Technology Development Agency [NITDA]. (2022). Nigeria Digital Economy Report 2022. NITDA, Abuja.
- Nigeria Incentive-Based Risk Sharing System for Agricultural Lending [NIRSAL]. (2022). Annual Report 2022. NIRSAL, Abuja.
- Nigeria Inter-Bank Settlement System [NIBSS]. (2022). Industry Consumer Statistics 2022. NIBSS, Lagos.
- National Insurance Commission [NAICOM]. (2023). Nigeria Insurance Industry Report 2022/2023. NAICOM, Abuja.
- Nwankwo, O., & Eze, B. C. (2023). Blockchain adoption in Nigerian microfinance institutions: Practitioners' perspectives and challenges. African Journal of Finance and Management, 18(2), 45-67.
- Ozili, P. K. (2022). Blockchain finance: Questions regulators ask. FinTech, 1(1), 50-65.
- PwC. (2020). Blockchain in Financial Services: Global Survey 2020. PricewaterhouseCoopers.
- Szabo, N. (1997). Formalizing and securing relationships on public networks. First Monday, 2(9). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v2i9.548>
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Portfolio/Penguin, New York.
- World Bank. (2022). Migration and Development Brief 37: Remittances Brave Global Headwinds. World Bank Group, Washington, D.C.
- World Bank. (2023). The Global Findex Database 2022: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19. World Bank, Washington, D.C.